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The 28th Nordic Conference on Secure IT systems (NordSec) 2023 will be hosted by OsloMet in Norway on Nov. 16-17, 2023.

Nordic Conference on Secure IT Systems

NordSec is an annual research conference series that has been running since 1996. The NordSec conferences address a broad range of topics on IT security. The events bring together security researchers from the Nordic countries, Northern Europe, and beyond. In addition to being a venue for academic publishing, NordSec is an important meeting place for university faculty, students, and industry researchers and experts from the region.

NordSec addresses a broad range of topics within IT security with the aim of bringing together computer security researchers and encouraging interaction between academia and industry.
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This talk will examine the concept of privacy risks and their consequences. It will present the various perspectives and what they actually capture, or neglect. Listeners will gain a better understanding on risks and consequences of personal data breaches, and about the maturity of the assessment methods used to assess such risks.
Name privacy risks, please!
What about «data protection impact»?
Data breach consequences?
Concepts

- Risk Analysis – chance of event happening, and damage caused
- DPIA – Which risks to fundamental data protection rights may be created by data processing application?
- Privacy Risk Analysis – try to assess risk of data breaches occurring on systems, and mitigate or lower occurrence of risk.
- Impact / consequences? Which ones? For whom?
Qualitative risk assessment

• Uses likelihood and impact of events on assets
• Based on historic data for both likelihood and impact
• In new settings often guesswork

Loss(A) = impact(T(A)) * likelihood(T(A)) where T(A) is threat T effective on asset A.
## Risk assessment form

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Likelihood Imp</th>
<th>Negligible</th>
<th>Very Low</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Very High</th>
<th>Extra</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Red</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very High</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Red</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extra</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Red</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Three levels of risk are normally adequate: **low, moderate, high**
The DPIA process aims at providing assurance that controllers adequately address privacy and data protection risks of ‘risky’ processing operations. By providing a structured way of thinking about the risks to data subjects and how to mitigate them, DPIAs help organisations to comply with the requirement of ‘data protection by design’ where it is needed the most, i.e. for ‘risky’ processing operations.

The assessment shall contain at least:
1. a systematic description of the envisaged processing operations and the purposes of the processing;
2. an assessment of the necessity and proportionality of the processing operations in relation to the purposes;
3. an assessment of the risks to the rights and freedoms of data subjects referred to in paragraph 1 of Article 39 of the Regulation 2018/1725; and
4. the measures envisaged to address the risks, including safeguards, security measures and mechanisms to ensure the protection of personal data and to demonstrate compliance with this Regulation taking into account the rights and legitimate interests of data subjects and other persons concerned.

Source: Data Protection Impact Assessment (DPIA) | European Data Protection Supervisor (europa.eu)
DPIA - Article 39 of the Regulation 2018/1725

1. Where a type of processing in particular using new technologies, and taking into account the nature, scope, context and purposes of the processing, is likely to result in a high risk to the rights and freedoms of natural persons, the controller shall, prior to the processing, carry out an assessment of the impact of the envisaged processing operations on the protection of personal data. A single assessment may address a set of similar processing operations that present similar high risks.

"the risks to the rights and freedoms of data subjects referred to in paragraph 1"???

Please help me interpret the 39.1! What does it actually say?
DPIA - Article 39 of the Regulation 2018/1725

**Article 39**

**Data protection impact assessment**

1. Where a type of processing in particular using new technologies, and taking into account the nature, scope, context and purposes of the processing, is likely to result in a high risk to the rights and freedoms of natural persons, the controller shall, prior to the processing, carry out an assessment of the impact of the envisaged processing operations on the protection of personal data. A single assessment may address a set of similar processing operations that present similar high risks.
# ICO DPIA template

## Step 5: Identify and assess risks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Describe source of risk and nature of potential impact on individuals. Include associated compliance and corporate risks as necessary.</th>
<th>Likelihood of harm</th>
<th>Severity of harm</th>
<th>Overall risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Remote, possible or probable</td>
<td>Minimal, significant or severe</td>
<td>Low, medium or high</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Note: «potential impact on individuals»

### Step 5: Identify and assess risks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Describe source of risk and nature of potential impact on individuals. Include associated compliance and corporate risks as necessary.</th>
<th>Likelihood of harm</th>
<th>Severity of harm</th>
<th>Overall risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Duality of Privacy Risks

Personal Information Problem

Risk mgmt.

Impact analysis

Business risks
- Reputation: loss of image, loss of branding, loss of trust, higher expense in marketing
- Compliance: fines, loss of license, prosecution, exclusion from govt. business, higher legal expense
- Lost Opportunity: Exclusion from international opportunities, Lose customers - to competition, Not get new customers, Higher cost of acquisition

System

User risks
- Self-Determination: loss of reputation, loss of diversity, SPAM and price discrimination, higher efforts to keep control
- Intransparency: confusion on what others know, uncertain future dangers of loss, loss of trust, dossier society
- Health & Freedom: personal dangers, totalitarianism, stalking, intrusion

DuTriality of Privacy Risks

Risk mgmt.

Business risks
- Reputation
  - loss of image
  - loss of branding
  - loss of trust
  - higher expense in marketing
- Compliance
  - fines
  - loss of license
  - prosecution
  - exclusion from govern. business
  - higher legal expense
- Lost Opportunity
  - Exclusion from international opportunities
  - Lose customers - to competition
  - Not get new customers
  - Higher cost of acquisition

System

User risks
- Self-Determination
  - loss of reputation
  - loss of diversity
  - SPF and price discrimination
  - higher efforts to keep control
- Intransparency
  - confusion on what others know
  - uncertain future dangers of loss
  - loss of trust
  - dossier society
- Health & Freedom
  - personal dangers
  - totalitarianism
  - stalking
  - intrusion

Impact analysis

Threats to society

Personalized (cyber) war

Cambridge Analytica / Facebook election manipulation

Team Jorge: Harassment as a service
Privacy harms
- «potential impact on individuals»
Solove’s privacy threat taxonomy

The European Union Agency For Network and Information Security (ENISA) has published guidelines for privacy risk assessment for Small and Medium Enterprises that contain guidance on privacy impact assessment focused on individual data subjects in chapter 3 on page 19. There, four levels of privacy impact are defined:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LEVEL OF IMPACT</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Individuals may encounter a few minor inconveniences, which they will overcome without any problem (time spent re-entering information, annoyances, irritations, etc.).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Individuals may encounter significant inconveniences, which they will be able to overcome despite a few difficulties (extra costs, denial of access to business services, fear, lack of understanding, stress, minor physical ailments, etc.).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>Individuals may encounter significant consequences, which they should be able to overcome albeit with serious difficulties (misappropriation of funds, blacklisting by financial institutions, property damage, loss of employment, subpoena, worsening of health, etc.).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very high</td>
<td>Individuals which may encounter significant, or even irreversible consequences, which they may not overcome (inability to work, long-term psychological or physical ailments, death, etc.).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PRIAM privacy harms (impact)

A privacy harm is the negative impact on a data subject, or a group of data subjects, or the society as a whole, from the standpoint of physical, mental, or financial well-being or reputation, dignity, freedom, acceptance in society, selfactualization, domestic life, freedom of expression, or any fundamental right, resulting from one or more feared events.
PRIAM – focus on harms to subjects
Real harms following medical data breach

Medical records of a Florida woman were disclosed by a nurse thus revealing her long secret

Disclosure of patient's prescription history that led to criticism from her ex-boyfriend

Medical data from the World Anti-Doping Agency concerning athletes disclosed

Disclosure of patient's sensitive status on social media

Individual targeted for medical identity theft - bills totaled to almost 20,000 US Dollars - Hackers are stealing millions of medical records – and selling them on the dark web

Medical records of a woman accessed and disclosed by ex-partner in an unauthorised manner

A NHS staff member disclosed personal medical data regarding her sister-in-law to the family members

Doctor provides an investigator with full medical records of a patient to dig dirt on him after a complaint to the Medical Board of California

Patients, including a prominent individual in Finland, received emails demanding ransom after a breach of psychotherapy records

A case in which doctors' breached the privacy of one of their colleagues after accessing into his medical records

Women targeted after a data breach at the University Hospital Crosshouse - 'Stalker' rap after hospital data breach

Exposure of patient data led to the disclosure that an influential person in politics was using an ICD (Implantable cardioverter-defibrillator). An attacker gained access to the device and manipulated the data, which led to a cardiac arrhythmia (Demonstrated)

A patient’s HIV status, including his PII remained in the public open record for at least six months prior to being sealed after a lawsuit filed by collections attorney on behalf of a healthcare provider seeking payment for an unpaid debt

More incidents in:

Privacy in contexts

Privacy, risks and harms must be viewed in contexts:

- Individual’s contexts
- Group contexts
- Society’s and competing societies’ context
PISA: Risk vs. Impact

Vulnerabilities

V1: Stalking, harassment: caused by her being famous

V2: Competition sabotage: caused by her being a pro player

V3: Loss of sponsorship: caused by her having sponsors and requiring them to earn a living

Partial identity attributes

Where

ID/Biometric

Network

Social graph

Consequences

Serious

Low-Mid (Reversible)

Social and/or psychological damage

Lina Odinsson

- **Background**
  - Streamer/Youtuber
  - E-sports professional
  - Has a following of more than 10 000 000
  - 16-24 years old
  - Female
  - Uses an alias while being online

- **Technology expertise level**
  - High level of computer habit, but not a super user
  - Have an good understanding of what could happen if information is leaked but not of how the attack would be performed

- **Technology use**
  - Consoles for gaming purposes
  - Computer and phone for social networking

- **Access location**
  - Home network
  - Public network

- **Threats from technology use**
  1. Reachable on electronic platforms for messaging
  2. Traceable through game traffic.

- **Vulnerabilities**
  1. Stalking, harassment: caused by her being famous
  2. Competition sabotage: caused by her being a pro player
  3. Loss of sponsorship: caused by her having sponsors and requiring them to earn a living

N.B for graph: Add one from ID to stalking, Add one from Network to Sabotage

- **Needs**
  - To be able to stay anonymous
  - To not be spied upon
  - For her career to continue.
The real privacy risks and impact

- Threat of individual rights being violated
- Threat to organization/business (compliance perspective)
- Threat to society’s mechanisms or societal architecture
- Actual impact on individual data subject’s life
  - where threats and harms are defined by individual context!
  - Mind: Irreversible harms and hard-to-reverse harms!
Data is the new oil … what about the oil spills?

- Massive data collection, analysis and distribution capacity
- "Big Data" promises near-magic self learning, knowledge-discovering and artificially intelligent computers – if they just get fed enough information.
- Data leakage, data sabotage, espionage and poor data quality are serious threats
- Hard to revert a "data spill" once data has leaked, been stolen or published.
- Potential for personal compromise as well as a threat to IT product vendors – or endangering national security and sovereignty
The World’s Biggest Data Breaches

http://www.informationisbeautiful.net/visualizations/worlds-biggest-data-breaches-hacks/

World's Biggest Data Breaches & Hacks

Selected events over 30,000 records

UPDATED: Sep 2022

Facebook 533,000,000

Experian Brazil 220,000,000

Microsoft 250,000,000

Shanghai Police

Plex

T-Mobile

Syniverse

Canva 139,000,000

Dubsmash 152,000,000

Indian citizens 275,000,000

OxyData 380,000,000

Capital One 100,000,000

Facebook 420,000,000

Pakistani mobile operators 115,000,000

8fit
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Facebook

Facebook

OxyData

Pakistani mobile operators

Capital One

Facebook

Facebook
GDPR enforcement tracker (fines)

https://www.enforcementtracker.com/

The OSL.Law GDPR Enforcement Tracker is an overview of fines and penalties which data protection authorities within the EU have imposed under the EU General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR, 2016/679). Our aim is to keep this up-to-date as possible. Since not all fines are made public, this list can of course never be complete, which is why we appreciate any indication of further GDPR fines and penalties. Please note that we do not list any fines imposed by national / non-European laws, under non-data protection laws (e.g. competition laws / electronic communication laws) and under "old" pre-GDPR laws. We have, however, included a limited number of essential eprivacy fines under member state laws.

New features: "ETI" and "Direct URL!" We have assigned a unique and permanent ID to each fine in our database, which makes it possible to precisely address fines, e.g. in publications. Once an "ETI" has been assigned to a fine, it remains the same, even if the fine is overturned or amended by courts at a later date, or if we add fines that were issued chronologically before. The "Direct URL" (click + or on a specific ETI to view details of a fine) can be used to share fines online, e.g. on Twitter or media.
Questions / Discussion